

Electrical and Computer Engineering Department

First Semester 2021/2022

## ENCS4320, Applied Cryptography Midterm Exam

Date: Tuesday, 30/11/2021 Time: 15:50 - 17:10 (80 minutes) Room: ALSADIK202, ALSADIK203

Sec1: Dr. Ahmad Alsadeh Sec2: Mr. Hanna Alzughbi

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_Student ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Question #	Full Mark	Student's Mark
Q1	12	
Q2	18	
TOTAL	30	

## Q1) (12 pts) Consider the most suitable answer choice

- 1. 1. Consider the Vigenere cipher over the lowercase English alphabet, where the key length can be anything from 8 to 12 characters. What is the size of the key space for this scheme?
  - A. 26!
  - B. 26<sup>12</sup>
  - C.  $4 \times 26^{12}$

D.  $26^8 + 26^9 + 26^{10} + 26^{11} + 26^{12}$ 

**2.** Let  $M = C = K = \{0, 1, 2, ..., 255\}$  and consider the following cipher defined over (K, M, C):  $E(k, m) = m + k \pmod{256}; D(k, c) = c - k \pmod{256}$ . Does this cipher have perfect secrecy?

A. Yes, it does have perfect secrecy

- B. No, there is a simple attack on this cipher
- C. No, only the One Time Pad has perfect secrecy
- **3.** Let (E, D) be a (one-time) semantically secure cipher where the message and ciphertext space is
  - $\{0,1\}^n$ . Is the encryption scheme E'(k,m) = E(k,m) || LSB(m) is (one-time) semantically secure?
    - A. Yes, it is secure
    - B. It depends on the attacker power
    - C. No, it is not secure
    - D. It depends on the message m
- **4.** Let  $G: \{0,1\}^s \to \{0,1\}^n$  be a secure PRG. Is  $G'(k) = G(k) \bigoplus 1^n$  is secure PRG?
  - A. Yes it is secure
  - B. No it is not secure
  - C. It depends on the distinguisher algorithm A
- 5. Let  $F: \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  be a secure PRF (i.e. a PRF where the key space, input space, and output space are all  $\{0,1\}^n$  and say n = 128. Is  $F'((k_1, k_2), x) = F((k_1, x) \parallel F(k_2, x)$  is a secure PRF?
  - A. Secure if  $k_1 \neq k_2$
  - B. Not secure if  $k_1 \neq k_2$
  - C. Secure if  $k_1 = k_2$
- **6.** Let *m* be a message consisting of *l* AES blocks (say l = 100). Alice encrypts *m* using CBC mode and transmits the resulting ciphertext to Bob. Due to a network error, ciphertext block number l/2 is corrupted during transmission. All other ciphertext blocks are transmitted and received correctly. Once Bob decrypts the received ciphertext, how many plaintext blocks will be corrupted?
  - A. lB. l/2C. 1 + l/2D. 2
- **7.** Suppose Alice uses CBC Mode for encrypting a message *m*. However, she forgets the value she used for *IV*, but has *c* and *k*. Can she recover *m*?
  - A. Almost everything except  $m_1$  (Where  $m_1$  is the first block)
  - B. Can only recover  $m_{n-1}$
  - C. Can only recover  $m_n$
  - D. Almost everything expect  $m_1$  and  $m_2$

- **8.** To encrypt a series of plaintext blocks  $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$  using a block cipher *E* operating in electronic code book (ECB) mode, each ciphertext block  $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$  is computed as  $c_i = E(k, m_i)$ . Which of the following **is not** a property of this block cipher mode?
  - A. Any repeated plaintext blocks will result in identical corresponding ciphertext blocks
  - B. Decryption can be fully parallelized
  - C. If a ciphertext block is modified or corrupted, then after decryption the corresponding plaintext block and all the following plaintext blocks will be affected.
  - D. None of the above; that is, (a), (b), and (c) are all properties of the ECB block cipher mode
- **9.** To encrypt a series of plaintext blocks  $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$  using a block cipher *E* operating in cipher block chaining (CBC) mode, each ciphertext block  $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$  is computed as  $c_i = E(k, m_i \oplus c_{i-1})$ , where  $c_0$  is a public initialization vector (IV) which should be different for each encryption session. Which of the following is a property of this block cipher mode?
  - A. Any repeated plaintext blocks will result in identical corresponding ciphertext blocks
  - B. Decryption can be fully parallelized
  - C. If a ciphertext block is modified or corrupted, then after decryption the corresponding plaintext block and all the following plaintext blocks will be affected
  - D. None of the above; that is, neither (a), (b), nor (c) are properties of the CBC block cipher mode
- **10.** Suppose a MAC system (S, V) is used to protect files in a file system by appending a MAC tag to each file. The MAC signing algorithm S is applied to the file contents and nothing else. What tampering attacks are not prevented by this system?
  - A. Swapping two files in the file system.
  - B. Replacing the tag and contents of one file with the tag and contents of a file from another computer protected by the same MAC system, but a different key.
  - C. Erasing the last byte of the file contents.
  - D. Changing the first byte of the file contents.
- **11.** A hash function is constructed based on the Data Encryption Standard (DES, which is a permutation of 64-bit strings) using the Merkle-Damgard transform. Roughly, how many messages must be hashed

so that we get a collision with probability greater than  $\frac{1}{2}$ ?

- A. 32
- B. 64
- C. 2<sup>32</sup>
- D. 2<sup>64</sup>
- **12.** MACs provide the following security properties:
  - A. Message confidentiality
  - B. Message integrity
  - C. Message non repudiation
  - D. Message origin authority

## Q2)

**1.** (2 pts) Let  $G: \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a secure PRG. Define  $G'(k_1, k_2) = G(k_1) \wedge G(k_2)$  where  $\wedge$  is the bitwise AND function. Consider the following statistical test A on  $\{0,1\}^n$ : A(x) outputs LSB(x), the least significant bit of x. What is  $Adv_{PRG}[A, G']$ ? You may assume that LSB(G(k)) is 0 for exactly half the seeds k in K.

For a random string x we have Pr[A(x)=1]=1/2

but for a pseudorandom string  $G'(k_1, k_2)$  we have  $Pr_{k_1, k_2}[A(G'(k_1, k_2))=1]=1/2*1/2=1/4$ 

2. (2 pts) A Feistel transformation is a function of the form

$$E(k, (L_0, R_0)) = (R_0, L_0 \oplus f(k, R_0)) = (L_1, R_1),$$

where K is the key,  $L_0$ ,  $R_0$ ,  $L_1$ ,  $R_1$  are each n bit words, and  $f(K, R_0)$  is an arbitrary function from n bits to n bits.

Prove that every Feistel transformation is invertible. That is, show how to find  $L_0$ , and  $R_0$  if  $L_1$ ,  $R_1$ , and K are known.

Given  $(L_1, R_1)$ , we immediately have  $R_0 = L_1$ 

Then  $R_1 = L_0 \oplus f(k, R_0) = L_0 \oplus f(k, L_1)$ , so

 $L_0 = R_1 \oplus f(k, L_1).$ 

That is

$$(L_0, R_0) = (R_1 \oplus f(k, L_1), L_1)$$

**3.** (2 pts) Let  $E_K$  denote the encryption function of a block cipher with key  $k \in \{0,1\}^n$ . Suppose we try to strengthen this cipher by using two keys,  $k_1, k_2 \in \{0,1\}^n$  and encrypting message m by the two keys  $E(k_2, E(k_1, m))$ . Describe a known plaintext attack on this cryptosystem that is faster than exhaustive search. How much faster is it, and how much memory does it use?

```
Meet in the middle attack

Given plaintext/ ciphertext pair (m, c), build list

A = \{(E(K_1, m), K_1)\} and B = \{D(K_2, c), K_2\}.

look for (x, K<sub>1</sub>)

Time = 2<sup>n</sup>.log(2<sup>n</sup>) + 2<sup>n</sup>.log(2<sup>n</sup>) \langle 2^{2n}, space \approx 2^n
```

4. (3 pts) Suppose that using commodity hardware it is possible to build a computer for about \$200 that can brute force about 1 billion AES keys per second. Suppose an organization wants to run an exhaustive search for a single 128-bit AES key and is willing to spend 4 trillion dollars to buy these machines. How long would it take the organization to brute force this single 128-bit AES key with these machines? Ignore additional costs such as power and maintenance.

# machines = 4\*10<sup>12</sup>/200 = 2\*10<sup>10</sup>
# keys processed per sec = 10<sup>9</sup> \* (2\*10<sup>10</sup>) = 2\*10<sup>19</sup>
# seconds = 2<sup>128</sup> / (2\*10<sup>19</sup>) = 17,014,118,346,046,923,173.168730371588 = 1.7\*10<sup>19</sup>
17,014,118,346,046,923,173.168730371588/ (60x60x24x365) = 539,514,153,540.3 years

The answer is about 540 billion years.

5. (2 pts) Let (S, V) be a secure MAC defined over (K, M, T) where  $M = \{0,1\}^n$  and  $T = \{0,1\}^{128}$ . That is, the key space is K, message space is  $\{0,1\}^n$ , and tag space is  $\{0,1\}^{128}$ . Explain whether of the following is a secure MAC or not.

 $S'(k,m) = S(k,m \oplus m)$  and  $V'(k,m,t) = V(k,m \oplus m,t)$ 

This construction is insecure because an adversary can request the tag for  $m = 0^n$ 

and thereby obtain a tag for any message.

This follows from the fact that  $m \oplus m = 0$ 

 $S'(k,m_0) = S'(k,m_1)$ , while  $m_0 \neq m_1$  Always give the same tag

**6.** (**2 pts**) Let  $H : M \to T$  be a collision resistant hash function. Is

$$H'(m) = H(m) \oplus H(m)$$

is collision resistant? Explain your answer.

H'(m) = 0, for  $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}$ 

This construction is not collision resistant because  $H(m_0) = H(m_1)$ 

7. (2 pts) Suppose  $F: K \times X \longrightarrow Y$  is a secure PRF with  $Y = \{0,1\}^{10}$ . Is the derived MAC  $I_F$  a secure MAC system? Explain

No tags are too short: anyone can guess the tag for any message

$$Adv[A, I_f] = \frac{1}{1024}$$

8. (3 pts) The rawCBC is insecure MAC; explain the steps for attacking rawCBC construction. raw CBC



Using chosen message attack

Adversary works as follows:

- 1- Choose an arbitrary one-block message  $m \in X$
- **2-** Request tag for m. Get t = F(k, m)
- 3- Output t as MAC forgery for the 2-block message  $m' = (m, t \oplus m)$

Indeed: rawCBC(k, (m,  $t \oplus m$ )) =  $F(k, F(k, m) \oplus (t \oplus m)) = F(k, t \oplus (t \oplus m)) = t$ 

t is a valid MAC for the 2-block message  $m' = (m, t \oplus m)$ 

So the adversary was able to produce this valid tag *t* for this 2-block message that he never queried. And therefore, he was able to break the MAC